Public Document Pack Forest Heath & St Edmundsbury councils



TO: Members and Substitutes of the Development Control Committee

(Copy to recipients of Development Control Committee Papers) ContactHelen HardingeDirect Dial01638 719363Emailhelen.hardinge@westsuffolk.gov.uk

1 June 2018

Dear Councillor

ST EDMUNDSBURY DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE - THURSDAY 7 JUNE 2018

I am now able to enclose, for consideration on the Thursday 7 June 2018 meeting of the St Edmundsbury Development Control Committee, a report relating to the following item that was unavailable when the agenda was printed.

Agenda Item

No

6. <u>Planning Application DC/17/2648/FUL - Shadowbush Farm,</u> <u>Stansfield Road, Poslingford</u> (Pages 1 - 2)

Report No: DEV/SE/18/022

Planning Application - 2 no. dwellings with associated access, car parking and landscaping (following demolition of existing agricultural barn) as amended and supported by additional information received 19th March 2018 and 30th April 2018

Helen Hardinge Democratic Services Officer

Leah Mickleborough • Service Manager (Democratic Services) and Monitoring Officer Phone 01284 757162 • Email leah.mickleborough@westsuffolk.gov.uk Forest Heath District Council • District Offices • College Heath Road • Mildenhall • Suffolk • IP28 7EY St Edmundsbury Borough Council • West Suffolk House • Western Way • Bury St Edmunds • Suffolk • IP33 3YU www.westsuffolk.gov.uk This page is intentionally left blank



Development Control Committee 7th June 2018

Late Papers

Item 4 – DC/17/2648/FUL – Shadowbush Farm, Stansfield Road, Poslingford

- 1. Members will note that following the receipt of amended plans during the consideration of this proposal the previous proposal to remove the boundary walls has been amended so that these elements are now no longer proposed for removal.
- **2.** References remain to this proposed removal in the report and should be disregarded therefore. In particular, the following sections -
 - Paragraphs 40, 44, 45 and 46 should be disregarded in their entirety.
 - Reference to the removal of the walls in the third proposed reason for refusal should also be disregarded.
- 3. As a consequence, the third reason for refusal is as follows –

Policy DM15 states that development affecting the setting of a Listed Building will be permitted where they demonstrate a clear understanding of the significance of the building, contribute to the preservation of the building and respect the setting of Listed Building, including inward and outward views.

The proposed development is adjacent to a complex of historic barns, which are curtilage listed. Little change to the arrangement with regard to the historic barns appears to have taken place over the years, which remain centred around a courtyard benefitting from a rural setting. The more modern agricultural buildings to the north are generally seen as a more temporary addition and not necessarily out of character with its rural setting being of a typical modern appearance. Whilst all of the historic barns have since been converted they largely maintain their character in terms of their arrangement confining the permanency of residential development to the courtyard. It is not considered that the proposal will be in keeping with the surroundings which to date and historically have either been undeveloped or agricultural more in keeping with the historic character of the site. As such, it is considered that the proposed development fails respect the significance of the listed buildings as to adversely affect their setting. It is considered the public benefit does not outweigh the substantial harm arising from the proposed development, as to be contrary to Policy DM15 and paragraphs 131-173 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).